

HARFORD COUNTY BILL NO. 13-22 As Amended

Brief Title Solid Waste Management Plan Revision

is herewith submitted to the County Council of Harford County for enrollment as being the text as finally passed.

**CERTIFIED TRUE AND CORRECT**

Samela Meek

Council Administrator

Date June 4, 2013

**ENROLLED**

Billy Boniface

Council President

Date June 4, 2013

**BY THE COUNCIL**

Read the third time.

Passed: LSD 13-17

Failed of Passage: \_\_\_\_\_

By Order

Samela Meek

Council Administrator

Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County Executive for approval this 5th day of June, 2013 at 3:00 p.m.

Samela Meek

Council Administrator



**BY THE EXECUTIVE**

\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

APPROVED: Date \_\_\_\_\_

**BY THE COUNCIL**

This Bill No. 13-22 As Amended having been returned by the Executive on June 26, 2013 unsigned, becomes law on June 26, 2013.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 26, 2013.

Samela Meek

COUNCIL ADMINISTRATOR

COUNTY COUNCIL  
OF  
HARFORD COUNTY, MARYLAND

BILL NO. 13-22 As Amended

Introduced by Council President Boniface at the request of the County Executive

Legislative Day No. 13-13 Date May 7, 2013

AN ACT to repeal and reenact, with amendments, Section 4.4.3 Evaluation of the Harford Waste-to-Energy Facility (HWTEF), and Section 5.5 Waste to Energy, of the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan; and to add new Section 5.6 Exploration of New Solid Waste Transfer Station, Recycling Transfer Station, and Second Homeowner Drop-Off Facility and new Section 5.7 Exploration of Other Solid Waste Disposal Solutions, to the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan, adopted on March 1, 2005 by Bill No. 05-02, and incorporated by reference in Section 109-8, Solid waste management plan, of Article I, General Provisions, of Chapter 109, Environmental Control, of the Harford County Code, as amended; to provide that the Harford Waste-to-Energy Facility will not be expanded; to provide that other alternatives for long-term solid waste disposal were analyzed and that Harford County's interests are best served by partnering with Baltimore County to deliver Harford County trash to the Eastern Landfill Complex owned by Baltimore County for disposal; and generally relating to the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan.

By the Council, May 7, 2013

Introduced, read first time, ordered posted and public hearing scheduled

on: June 4, 2013

at: 7 PM

By Order: Pamela Meester, Council Administrator

**PUBLIC HEARING**

Having been posted and notice of time and place of hearing and title of Bill having been published according to the Charter, a public hearing was held on June 4, 2013, and concluded on June 4, 2013.

Pamela Meester, Council Administrator

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law. Underlining indicates language added to Bill by amendment. Language lined through indicates matter stricken out of Bill by amendment.

**BILL NO. 13-22**  
**As Amended**

WHEREAS, on March 1, 2005, the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan ("Plan") was adopted; and

WHEREAS, Harford County is in need of a long-term solution to dispose of its solid waste and single stream recyclables at stable and economical rates; and

WHEREAS, rather than building additional infrastructure for its long-term solid waste solution, Harford County believes it is more economical to take advantage of infrastructure within close proximity to Harford County boundaries in an adjoining jurisdiction which can be jointly developed to provide a regional solution for both jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, Baltimore County is willing to accept the estimated quantities of solid waste and single stream recyclables to be delivered from Harford County; and

WHEREAS, Baltimore County requires construction of a new solid waste transfer station at its Eastern Sanitary Landfill to accommodate quantities of solid waste and single stream recyclables from both Harford and Baltimore Counties, which will be financed by Baltimore County over a 20-year period, with support from Harford County; and

WHEREAS, the Plan must be revised to reflect that the County will partner with Baltimore County for a regional approach in the disposal of solid waste and recyclables, through the execution of a 20-year Municipal Solid Waste Disposal Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE,

Section 1. Be It Enacted By The County Council of Harford County, Maryland that Section 4.4.3 Evaluation of the Harford Waste-to-Energy Facility (HWTEF), and Section 5.5 Waste to Energy, of the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan, be, and they are hereby, repealed and reenacted, with amendments; and that new Section 5.6 Exploration of New Solid Waste Transfer Station, Recycling Transfer Station, and Second Homeowner Drop-Off Facility and new Section 5.7 Exploration of Other Solid Waste Disposal Solutions, be, and they are hereby, added to the Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan,

adopted on March 1, 2005 by Bill No. 05-02, and incorporated by reference in Section 109-8, Solid waste management plan, of Article I, General Provisions, of Chapter 109, Environmental Control, of the Harford County Code, as amended, all to read as follows:

**Chapter 109. Environmental Control**

**Article I. General Provisions**

**§ 109-8. Solid waste management plan.**

The Solid Waste Management Plan, dated January 2005, as adopted by Bill No. 05-02, as subsequently amended from time to time, is incorporated by reference as part of this chapter as though fully stated herein, and is hereby declared to be the County's Official Solid Waste Management Plan.

**Harford County Solid Waste Management Plan**

**4.4.3 Evaluation of the Harford Waste-to-Energy Facility (HWTEF)**

The HWTEF, which was purchased by the Northeast Maryland Waste Disposal Authority on behalf of Harford County in 2002, is a modular facility that generates steam as a byproduct of waste combustion. [The HWTEF may be modified for a variety of reasons during the ten-year planning period. Accordingly, the County has determined to expand the capacity of the HWTEF.]

The HWTEF was designed to be readily expanded by the addition of a fifth combustion unit within the existing enclosure, which would be integrated with the existing major equipment. The maximum single combustion unit that could be fit into the available facility has a rated capacity of 150 [tons per day (tpd)] TPD, which would increase the facility's overall throughput capacity to 510 [tpd] TPD, or 167,500 tons per year [(tpy)] (TPY) of processing capacity. This capacity will not meet Harford County's demands for future solid waste processing. The existing combustion and process equipment will approach its projected service life in 2016, suggesting that major system upgrades would be necessary within the next ten years. Since the present facility provides only about half of the Army's Edgewood area steam needs and since additional steam transmission lines can be replaced or upgraded to supply the remaining steam needs, expanding OR

1 REPLACING the facility is [economically] feasible.

2 Ash from the HWTEF is currently disposed OF in the HWDC and is being used as daily cover off  
3 site. In accordance with EPA guidance, residue from municipal waste combustors in Maryland is acceptable  
4 at a permitted municipal solid waste landfill. The owner of the HWTEF has sampled and tested the ash  
5 annually in accordance with the EPA's Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure, and has shared the  
6 results with the County. The testing has shown that the ash residue is classified as non-hazardous.

7 [Expansion of the capacity of the HWTEF is planned as a state of the art replacement mass burn  
8 waste to energy facility with a nameplate capacity of 1500 tpd. The replacement facility will be the primary  
9 solid waste acceptance and processing facility for the County. Under the terms of a Memorandum Of  
10 Understanding between Harford County, Baltimore County and the Authority, the Authority will develop,  
11 construct and operate the facility adjacent to the current HWTEF located at 1 Magnolia Road, Joppatowne,  
12 on additional property leased from the APG. Harford County and Baltimore county will each have a 50%  
13 allocation of the processing capacity of the expanded HWTEF, and each county will be responsible for  
14 management and disposal of its proportional amount of ash residue. An anticipated agreement will provide  
15 for sale of energy, both electricity and steam, to APG. The expanded HWTEF will be equipped with air  
16 pollution control equipment meeting all state and federal standards and regulations and will include  
17 provisions for recovery of ferrous and non-ferrous metals from the ash residue.]

18 **5.5 WASTE TO ENERGY**

19 When the HWTEF was constructed, plans were made and space was provided to add a fifth burner.  
20 [It has been determined] BEGINNING IN 2005, DIALOGUE BEGAN BETWEEN THE AUTHORITY,  
21 HARFORD COUNTY, AND THE ARMY TO CONSIDER AN EXPANSION/REPLACEMENT OF THE  
22 EXISTING HWTEF. AT THAT TIME IT WAS DETERMINED that in order to achieve AN expanded  
23 capacity TO MEET BOTH THE NEEDS OF THE COUNTY AND ARMY, a replacement facility [will]  
24 WOULD be constructed adjacent to the existing HWTEF during the 10-year planning period.

**BILL NO. 13-22**  
**As Amended**

1 NEGOTIATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT CONTINUED THROUGH 2009. UNDER  
2 THIS PROPOSAL, THE HWTEF WAS PLANNED TO BE A STATE OF THE ART REPLACEMENT  
3 MASS BURN WASTE TO ENERGY FACILITY WITH A NAMEPLATE CAPACITY OF 1500 TPD.  
4 THE REPLACEMENT FACILITY WOULD HAVE BEEN THE PRIMARY SOLID WASTE  
5 ACCEPTANCE AND PROCESSING FACILITY FOR THE COUNTY. UNDER THE TERMS OF A  
6 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN HARFORD COUNTY, BALTIMORE COUNTY  
7 AND THE AUTHORITY, THE AUTHORITY WOULD HAVE DEVELOPED, CONSTRUCTED AND  
8 OPERATED THE FACILITY ADJACENT TO THE CURRENT HWTEF LOCATED AT 1 MAGNOLIA  
9 ROAD, JOPPATOWNE, ON ADDITIONAL PROPERTY LEASED FROM THE APG. HARFORD  
10 COUNTY AND BALTIMORE COUNTY WOULD EACH HAVE HAD A 50% ALLOCATION OF THE  
11 PROCESSING CAPACITY OF THE EXPANDED HWTEF, AND EACH COUNTY WOULD HAVE  
12 BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF ITS PROPORTIONAL AMOUNT  
13 OF ASH RESIDUE. AN ANTICIPATED AGREEMENT WOULD HAVE PROVIDED FOR THE SALE  
14 OF ENERGY, BOTH ELECTRICITY AND STEAM, TO APG. THE EXPANDED HWTEF WOULD  
15 HAVE BEEN EQUIPPED WITH AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT MEETING ALL STATE  
16 AND FEDERAL STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS AND WOULD HAVE INCLUDED PROVISIONS  
17 FOR RECOVERY OF FERROUS AND NON-FERROUS METALS FROM THE ASH RESIDUE.

18 IN 2009, NEGOTIATIONS FELL APART AS APG EXPRESSED SIGNIFICANT CONCERNS  
19 WITH ENERGY COSTS, TRUCK TRAFFIC AND FORCE PROTECTION, WATER SOURCES FOR  
20 STEAM AND SITE LEASE ARRANGEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT. IN 2010, ON ITS  
21 OWN INITIATIVE, THE ARMY ADVERTISED A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR THE  
22 PRIVATIZATION OF THE EXPANDED WTE PLANT TO BE CONSTRUCTED AND OPERATED ON  
23 BEHALF OF THE ARMY UNDER A CONTRACT WITH A PRIVATE VENDOR. LATER THAT  
24 YEAR, THE SELECTED VENDOR MET WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM HARFORD COUNTY,

1 AT WHICH TIME SEVERAL PROBLEMS WITH THIS INITIATIVE WERE IDENTIFIED. FIRST, THE  
2 PROJECT SCHEDULE AND TIMING OF THE ARMY'S APPROVAL OF PROJECT COSTS WOULD  
3 HAVE RESULTED IN A DELAY UNTIL 2012 IN QUANTIFYING THE COSTS FOR WHICH THE  
4 COUNTY WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE, WHICH WAS BEYOND THE COUNTY'S DECISION POINT  
5 IN DETERMINING A LONG-TERM SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SOLUTION. SECONDLY, THE  
6 COUNTY WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED TO ENTER DIRECTLY INTO A CONTRACT WITH  
7 THE SELECTED WTE PLANT VENDOR WHICH WOULD HAVE CONFLICTED WITH THE  
8 HARFORD COUNTY PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS. THIRDLY, SINCE THE ARMY'S PROJECT  
9 WOULD HAVE REQUIRED A NEW SOLID WASTE REFUSE DISPOSAL PERMIT HOLDER, IT WAS  
10 UNCERTAIN IF IT WOULD BE ABLE TO SATISFY CERTAIN STATE REGULATIONS PERTAINING  
11 TO NEW WTE FACILITIES. CONCURRENTLY DURING THIS TIMEFRAME, HARFORD COUNTY  
12 EXPLORED OTHER POTENTIAL LONG-TERM SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS. IN 2011,  
13 HARFORD COUNTY DETERMINED THE PROPOSED ARMY PROJECT WAS NO LONGER A  
14 VIABLE OPTION AND WAS NOT IN THE COUNTY'S BEST INTEREST. [The existing HWTEF will  
15 continue operation until the expanded facility is complete and operational. It is anticipated that the waste  
16 processing equipment at the existing WTE facility will either be retrofitted for another use, or be removed  
17 and recycled. Within the next several years Harford County will evaluate potential uses of the plant building  
18 for future uses as part of its solid waste master planning efforts.

19 The County will work with the NMWDA to monitor alternative markets for ash recycling.]

20 **5.6 EXPLORATION OF NEW SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION, RECYCLING TRANSFER**  
21 **STATION, AND SECOND HOMEOWNER DROP-OFF FACILITY**

22 AS A RESULT OF THE DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED WITH AN ARMY HWTEF AS  
23 DESCRIBED IN SECTION 5.5 ABOVE, HARFORD COUNTY EXPLORED ALTERNATIVES  
24 ASSOCIATED WITH A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION FOR ITS LONG-TERM SOLID WASTE

**BILL NO. 13-22**  
**As Amended**

1 DISPOSAL NEEDS TO MEET THE MARCH 2016 DEADLINE OUTLINED IN SECTION 5.5. IN 2010,  
2 ENERGY ANSWERS OF BALTIMORE, LLC ANNOUNCED ITS PLANS TO CONSTRUCT AND  
3 OPERATE A 4,000 TPD WASTE TO ENERGY FACILITY IN THE FAIRFIELD SECTION OF  
4 BALTIMORE CITY. THE 140 MW POWER PLANT WOULD HAVE UTILIZED PROCESSED  
5 MATERIALS DERIVED FROM THE MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE STREAM AS ITS PRIMARY FUEL  
6 SOURCE. THE PROCESSED REFUSE FUEL (PRF) WOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED AT  
7 PERMITTED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SITES IN AND AROUND MARYLAND BUT REMOTE  
8 FROM THE POWER PLANT SITE. AS PART OF THIS EFFORT, ENERGY ANSWERS TEAMED  
9 WITH MARYLAND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES (MES) AS A FUEL SUPPLIER TO ITS POWER  
10 PLANT. MES BEGAN TO SOLICIT INTEREST FROM MARYLAND MUNICIPALITIES AND  
11 COUNTIES IN 2010.

12 DURING THIS TIME HARFORD COUNTY ALSO RECOGNIZED THE NEED TO BUILD A  
13 NEW RECYCLING TRANSFER STATION AS WELL AS A SECOND HOMEOWNER'S DROP-OFF  
14 FACILITY AND TO COMBINE THEM INTO THE SAME LOCATION AS A SOLID WASTE  
15 TRANSFER STATION WHICH WOULD PROVIDE ECONOMIES OF SCALE WITH THE COMBINED  
16 OPERATIONS. THE EXISTING RECYCLING TRANSFER STATION, LOCATED AT THE HWDC,  
17 WAS BUILT IN THE LATE 1990'S AS A TEMPORARY FACILITY WITH THE ADVENT OF THE  
18 COUNTY RECYCLING PROGRAM. THE EXISTING FACILITY HAS TWO TRUCK BAYS, BUT HAS  
19 LITTLE SPACE ON THE TIPPING FLOOR AND LITTLE CUEING SPACE FOR THE TRUCK  
20 TRAFFIC. THIS IS AN OPEN-AIR FACILITY WHICH DOES NOT MEET THE CURRENT  
21 STANDARD IN THE INDUSTRY WHICH REQUIRES ENCLOSED BUILDINGS. AS A RESULT, IT  
22 HAS BEEN LABOR-INTENSIVE TO CONSTANTLY PATROL BLOWN LITTER WITHIN AND  
23 AROUND THE LITTER FENCING WHICH SURROUNDS THE SITE. THE CURRENT FACILITY IS  
24 INADEQUATE TO HANDLE THE CURRENT AND FUTURE TRUCK TRAFFIC FROM LOCAL

1 TRASH COLLECTORS TRANSPORTING SINGLE STREAM RECYCLABLES COLLECTED WITHIN  
2 THE COUNTY.

3 ADDITIONALLY, EXCEPT FOR SUBSCRIBING TO A PRIVATE TRASH COLLECTION  
4 SERVICE, THE ONLY METHOD FOR RESIDENTS TO INDIVIDUALLY DISPOSE OF THEIR TRASH  
5 AND RECYCLABLES IS TO BRING THEM TO THE HWDC. DUE TO ITS LOCATION IN STREET,  
6 THIS FACILITY IS NOT CONVENIENT TO COUNTY CITIZENS WHO RESIDE IN THE SOUTHERN  
7 PORTION OF THE COUNTY. TO MAKE MATTERS WORSE, THE HWDC HOMEOWNER'S DROP-  
8 OFF FACILITY WAS BUILT IN THE 1970'S AND CANNOT HANDLE THE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC  
9 RECEIVED ON SATURDAYS AND CERTAIN HOLIDAYS. TRAFFIC OFTEN BACKS-UP ONTO  
10 SCARBORO ROAD. PROVIDING A SECOND HOMEOWNER'S DROP-OFF FACILITY SHOULD  
11 ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE ABOVE TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND PUBLIC INCONVENIENCE. IT  
12 WAS ENVISIONED THE NEW SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION WOULD ALSO FUNCTION AS  
13 A RECYCLING TRANSFER STATION BY DEDICATING A PORTION OF THE BUILDING FOR THIS  
14 PURPOSE.

15 CRITERIA UTILIZED FOR THE SITE SELECTION CONSISTED OF (1) PROXIMITY TO I-95  
16 TO REDUCE HAULING COSTS SINCE ALL SOLID WASTE WILL BE TRANSPORTED UTILIZING I-  
17 95; (2) ACCESS TO STATE ROADS TO MINIMIZE TRUCK TRAFFIC ON COUNTY RESIDENTIAL  
18 ROADWAYS; (3) LOCATION WITHIN THE HARFORD COUNTY DEVELOPMENT ENVELOPE,  
19 PREFERABLY IN THE SOUTHERN PORTION OF THE COUNTY TO ALLOW THE SECOND  
20 HOMEOWNER DROP-OFF FACILITY TO BE CONVENIENT TO RESIDENTS IN THE MORE  
21 POPULATED AND SOUTHERN AREAS OF THE COUNTY; (4) USABLE ACREAGE TO SUPPORT  
22 THE PROPOSED FACILITIES; (5) ACCEPTABLE ZONING; AND (6) PROPERTY AVAILABLE FOR  
23 SALE.

24 IN 2011, HARFORD COUNTY EVALUATED SITES FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITIES. A

1 SITE WHICH ENCOMPASSED 23.1 ACRES, LOCATED AT 815 PHILADELPHIA ROAD, JOPPA,  
2 MARYLAND, AND MET ALL OF THE ABOVE CRITERIA WAS ACQUIRED IN JULY 2011 FOR THE  
3 PURPOSE OF BUILDING AND OPERATING THE SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION,  
4 RECYCLING TRANSFER STATION, AND HOMEOWNER DROP-OFF FACILITY.

5 IN 2011, HARFORD COUNTY ENTERED INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH MES TO DESIGN,  
6 CONSTRUCT, AND OPERATE A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION WHICH WOULD ALSO  
7 SERVE AS A FUEL PROCESSING FACILITY FOR THE ENERGY ANSWERS POWER PLANT.  
8 HOWEVER, DUE TO ECONOMIC CONDITIONS, LACK OF COMMITMENT FROM OTHER  
9 GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, AND MAGNITUDE OF THE POWER PLANT PROJECT, IT HAS  
10 BECOME DIFFICULT FOR ENERGY ANSWERS TO ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY FINANCING IN A  
11 TIMELY MANNER. AS A RESULT OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE ENERGY ANSWERS  
12 PROJECT AND THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MES PROPOSAL, IT WAS DETERMINED IN  
13 2012 THAT IT WAS NO LONGER IN THE COUNTY'S BEST INTEREST TO FURTHER PURSUE THE  
14 ABOVE PARTNERSHIP.

15 **5.7 EXPLORATION OF OTHER SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS**

16 IN 2012, HARFORD COUNTY PERFORMED AN IN-DEPTH EVALUATION OF SEVERAL  
17 OTHER LONG-TERM SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS. THESE ALTERNATIVES  
18 INCLUDED:

- 19 1. DESIGN, CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION AT  
20 HWDC.
- 21 2. DESIGN, CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER STATION AT  
22 THE JOPPA SITE.
- 23 3. EXTEND THE OPERATION OF THE HWTEF.
- 24 4. PARTNER WITH BALTIMORE COUNTY TO DEVELOP A REGIONAL APPROACH

**BILL NO. 13-22**  
**As Amended**

1 TO SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND DELIVER ALL SOLID WASTE AND SINGLE STREAM  
2 RECYCLABLES TO THE BALTIMORE COUNTY TRANSFER STATION IN WHITE MARSH,  
3 MARYLAND.

4 UPON REVIEW OF THE EVALUATION, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT IT WAS IN THE BEST  
5 INTEREST OF THE COUNTY TO PARTNER WITH BALTIMORE COUNTY TO CONSERVE  
6 RESOURCES AND TO DELIVER ALL HARFORD COUNTY SOLID WASTE AND SINGLE STREAM  
7 RECYCLABLES TO THE TRANSFER STATION OWNED AND OPERATED BY BALTIMORE  
8 COUNTY AT THE EASTERN LANDFILL COMPLEX IN WHITE MARSH, MARYLAND. IN  
9 COMPARISON TO DELIVERING SOLID WASTE TO THE HWTEF, LOCAL TRASH COLLECTORS  
10 WOULD TRAVEL AN EXTRA 2.5 MILES TO THE BALTIMORE COUNTY TRANSFER STATION.  
11 ALL SOLID WASTE AND SINGLE STREAM RECYCLABLES DELIVERED TO THE HWDC  
12 HOMEOWNER'S DROP-OFF FACILITY WILL BE TRANSFERRED TO THE BALTIMORE COUNTY  
13 TRANSFER STATION. AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED  
14 BETWEEN HARFORD COUNTY AND BALTIMORE COUNTY TO DEFINE THE TERMS AND  
15 CONDITIONS OF THE ARRANGEMENT. THE TERM OF THE AGREEMENT IS 20 YEARS WITH  
16 TWO ADDITIONAL 10-YEAR EXTENSIONS IF BOTH PARTIES WISH TO EXTEND. IT IS  
17 ANTICIPATED THIS PLAN WILL GO INTO EFFECT ON OR ABOUT MARCH 17, 2016.

18 IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS PLAN WILL REQUIRE THE RAZING OF THE HWTEF AND  
19 REMOVAL OF STEAM LINES BEFORE MARCH 2019, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SITE LEASE  
20 AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ARMY AND THE NORTHEAST MARYLAND WASTE DISPOSAL  
21 AUTHORITY.

22 ~~HARFORD COUNTY INTENDS TO RESERVE THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 814~~  
23 ~~PHILADELPHIA ROAD, JOPPA, MARYLAND FOR FUTURE SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING~~  
24 ~~NEEDS. A STUDY WILL BE PERFORMED IN 2013 TO DETERMINE THE USES, WHICH MAY~~

**BILL NO. 13-22**  
**As Amended**

~~1 INCLUDE THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SECOND HOMEOWNER DROP-OFF FACILITY AND A~~  
~~2 YARD TRIM DROP-OFF FACILITY. IF THE STUDY FINDS LAND WILL BE AVAILABLE ON THE~~  
~~3 PROPERTY FOR OTHER USES, CONSIDERATION WILL BE MADE TO PUBLIC SAFETY~~  
~~4 INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS OF THE NEARBY COMMUNITY.~~

5 Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted that this Act shall take effect 60 calendar days from the date it  
6 becomes law.

EFFECTIVE: August 26, 2013

*The Council Administrator does hereby certify that fifteen (15) copies of this Bill are immediately available for distribution to the public and the press.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Council Administrator